

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATION OF COMMUNICATIO

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/679,914 10/06/2003 2959 Clyde C. Bryant E025 1031.1 05/14/2004 EXAMINER WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE KERSHTEYN, IGOR **POST OFFICE BOX 7037** ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3745

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/679,914	BRYANT, CLYDE C.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Igor Kershteyn	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	,—			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>25-32</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>25-32</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa		
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03/03</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/679,914

Art Unit: 3745

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 1, "a piston turnaround time" should be -the piston turnaround time--.

Applicant is advised that should claim 31 be found allowable, claim 32 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 27 and 30 recite "piston turnaround time ad top-dead-center being double the turnaround time of a piston of a prior technology engine" which is indefinite because it is not known which prior technology engine is referred to.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,914

Art Unit: 3745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT Publication No. FR81/03200.

In figures 1 and 2, Publication teaches a reciprocation internal combustion engine which is characterized by delayed piston turnaround at piston top-dead-center.

Claims 25-27, 29, and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mederer (4,957,069).

In figures 1-9, Mederer teaches a reciprocation internal combustion engine which is characterized by delayed piston turnaround at piston top-dead-center.

Claims 25-27, 29, and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adahan (5,762,480).

In figures 1-5, Adahan teaches a reciprocation internal combustion engine which is characterized by delayed piston turnaround at piston top-dead-center.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,914

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 25-27, 29, and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by German Patent No. 2457208

In figures 1 and 2, German Patent teaches a reciprocation internal combustion engine which is characterized by delayed piston turnaround at piston top-dead-center.

#### **Prior Art**

Prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure and consist of two patents.

Bray (5,448,970) is cited to show an internal combustion engine wherein a piston is linked to a crank such that piston TDC occurs at BDC of the crank but fails to teach a delayed piston turnaround at piston TDC.

French Patent No. 2674285 is cited to show an internal combustion engine wherein a piston is linked to a crank such that piston TDC occurs at BDC of the crank but fails to teach a delayed piston turnaround at piston TDC.

#### Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kershteyn whose telephone number is (703) 308 8317. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached on (703) 308 1044. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3745

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0861.

ΙK

April 27, 2004

Igor Kershteyn Patent examiner. Art Unit 3745 Page 5

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

5/10/04